

#### Pushing Inference to the Sky: A Differentiable Forward Model for the 21 cm Global Signal (work in progress..)

Nicholas Kern MIT Pappalardo Fellow

October 2022 Berkeley Global Signal Conference

complete the second process of the second second property of the second s

#### 1. How does performing inference on the sky help?

- More faithful accounting of beam, FGs, and their degeneracies
- Fully exploit correlations in the data between LSTs

#### 2. Is detection theoretically possible?

- Even in ideal circumstances, 21 cm global signal extraction is **highly degenerate** with FG spectral modes (c.f. Liu et al. 2012)
- Forward modeling of **flexible** but **regularized** sky + instrument models is key to a robust 21 cm GS constraint

# Why an end-to-end forward model?

- Robust signal extraction must understand the joint posterior between the **beam**, **FG**, and **21 cm** signal
- This requires a data model that **starts on the sky**, as opposed to a spectrum-based data model
- Including the visibility sky integral in our data model allows us to fully (and properly) exploit correlations between LSTs



See also Tauscher+, Nhan+, Rapetti+, Anstey+

A. Rodgers Memo #374 2021





# What is a (Bayesian) forward model?



#### How to make it differentiable?



## How to make it differentiable?



# Example with an interferometer point source + beam optimization



Kern 2022 in prep.

#### For now: testing degeneracies

## For now: testing degeneracies

**Posterior** expansion via the Fisher matrix (aka the -Hessian)



Can compute the Hessian exactly via automatic diff.





The full F inverse accounts for degeneracies between T21, FG, and beam. No noise and no front-end calibration in these tests.

#### Foreground parameterization





#### 21 cm parameterization



## Instrument parameterization: EDGES beam



Courtesy A. Rodgers & Mahesh+2021

#### Mock observation setup



Nfrequency = 64 Ntimes = 50 Npixel = 12288 (NSIDE=32) no noise, no calibration



**T\_21** (no prior), **Beam** (no prior), FG (known)
1 LST



**T\_21** (2 K prior), **Beam** (1% prior), FG (known)
1 LST



**T\_21** (2 K prior), **Beam** (1% prior), FG (known)
24 hours LST



FG (no prior), T\_21 (no prior), Beam (known)
24 hours LST



See also Liu+2012

FG (10% prior), T\_21 (2 K prior), Beam (known)
24 hours LST



FG (10% prior, m>0), T\_21 (2 K prior), Beam (known)
24 hours LST



FG (10% prior, m>0), T\_21 (2 K prior), Beam (1% prior)
24 hours LST



FG (10% prior, m>0), T\_21 (2 K prior), Beam (1% prior)
1 LST



#### Some avenues for progress?

• Down-weight m=0 angular modes with a FG spatial covariance (e.g. Liu et al. 2012)

Do we even know this covariance accurately?

Requires more complex observations (smaller beams, steerable pointing)

• Set a prior on the global signal with a P(k) detection This is model dependent

## Summary

 Forward modeling is key to a deeper understanding of degeneracies between the signal and systematics, and for fully leveraging the statistical power in the data (e.g. multi-LSTs).
 More results on optimization and sampling to come soon...

• Even in ideal circumstances (i.e. perfect front-end calibration, perfect beam knowledge, and multi-LSTs), "detection" of a 21 cm global signal is complicated by degenerate FG modes

#### Cool features: gradient maps



#### Performance

